

UDC 517.9

# ON THE OSCILLATION AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR A HIGER ORDER NEUTRAL DIFFERENCE EQUATION

DINH CONG HUONG

(Quy Nhon University, Vietnam);

NGUYEN VAN MAU

(Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam)

In this paper, the oscillation and asymptotic behavior of the higer order neutral difference equation  $\Delta^k(x_n + \delta_n x_{n-\tau}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) F(x_{n-m_i}) = 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  are investigated.

**1. Introduction.** The properties of solutions of neutral difference equations has been studied extensively in recent years; (see for example the work in [1 – 10] and the references cited therein). In [3], we obtained some results for the oscillation and the convergence of solutions of neutral difference equation of the form

$$\Delta(x_n + \delta x_{n-\tau}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) F(x_{n-m_i}) = 0, \quad (1)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $r, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  are fixed positive integers, the functions  $\alpha_i(n)$  are defined on  $\mathbb{N}$  and the function  $F$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In [1], the author obtained some results for the oscillation and the convergence of solutions of higer order neutral difference equation of the form

$$\Delta^k(x_n + \delta_n x_{n-\tau}) + q_n F(x_{n-\sigma}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

with some restrictions on the function  $F$ , the sequences  $(q_n), (\delta_n)$ .

Motivated by the work above, in this paper, we aim to study the oscillation and convergence of solutions of higer order neutral difference equation

$$\Delta^k(x_n + \delta_n x_{n-\tau}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) F(x_{n-m_i}) = 0, \quad (3)$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $k, \tau, r, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  are fixed positive integers and the functions  $\alpha_i(n)$  are defined on  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_i(n) \geq 0$ , and are not eventually identically zero, the continuous function  $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $xF(x) > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . Moreover, with respect to (3), we assume that there exists a function  $G: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G$  is continuous and nondecreasing and satisfies the inequality

$$G(xy) \geq MG(x)G(y) \quad \text{for } x, y > 0,$$

where  $M$  is a positive constant,

$$|F(x)| \geq G(x), \quad \frac{G(x)}{x} \geq N > 0$$

and  $xG(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

Put  $A = \max\{\tau, m_1, \dots, m_r\}$ . Then, by a solution of (3) we mean a function which is defined for  $n \geq -A$  and satisfies the equation (3) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly, if

$$x_n = a_n, \quad n = -A, -A+1, \dots, -1, 0$$

are given, then (3) has a unique solution, and it can be constructed recursively.

A nontrivial solution  $(x_n)_{n \geq n_0}$  of (3) is called *oscillatory* if for any  $n_1 \geq n_0$  there exists  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that  $x_{n_2} x_{n_2+1} \leq 0$ . The difference equation (3) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. Otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

**2. The results.** To begin with, we get theorem following.

**THEOREM 2.1 [2]** (Discrete Kneser's Theorem). *Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq n_0}$  be such that  $x_n > 0$  with  $\Delta^k x_n$  of constant sign for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and not identically zero. Then, there exists an integer  $m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq k$  with  $k+m$  odd for  $\Delta^k x_n \leq 0$  or  $k+m$  even for  $\Delta^k x_n \geq 0$  and such that:*

*$m \leq k-1$  implies  $(-1)^{m+i} \Delta^i x_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $m \leq i \leq n-1$ ;*

*$m \geq 1$  implies  $(-1)^{m+i} \Delta^i x_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ .*

**Corollary 2.2 [2].** *Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq n_0}$  be such that  $x_n > 0$  with  $\Delta^k x_n \leq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and not identically zero. Then, there exists a large integer  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_1$*

$$x_n \geq \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \Delta^{k-1} x_{2^{k-m-1}n} (n-n_1)^{(k-1)}.$$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Assume that*

$$\frac{(\tilde{m}+1)^{\tilde{m}+1}}{\tilde{m}^{\tilde{m}}} \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) > 1, \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha_i(n) \geq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $\tilde{m} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i$ . Then, the inequality

$$\Delta x_n + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) x_{n-m_i} \leq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

has no eventually positive solution.

*Proof.* Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that (4) has a solution  $(x_n)$  with  $x_n > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ ,  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Setting  $v_n = \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}$  and dividing this inequality by  $x_n$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{v_n} \leq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) \prod_{\ell=1}^{m_i} v_{n-\ell}, \quad (5)$$

where  $n \geq n_1 + m$ ,  $m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i$ .

Clearly,  $(x_n)$  is nonincreasing with  $n \geq n_1 + m$ , and so  $v_n \geq 1$  for all  $n \geq n_1 + m$ . From (4) and (5) we see that  $(v_n)$  is a above bounded sequence. Putting  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = \beta$ , we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v_n} = \frac{1}{\beta} \leq 1 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) \prod_{\ell=1}^{m_i} v_{n-\ell},$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \leq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) \cdot \beta^{m_i}. \quad (6)$$

Since

$$\beta^{m_i} \geq \beta^{\tilde{m}}, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, r},$$

we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) \beta^{m_i} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) \beta^{\tilde{m}}, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, r}$$

and

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) \beta^{m_i} \leq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) \beta^{\tilde{m}}.$$

From (6) we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) \leq \frac{\beta-1}{\beta^{\tilde{m}+1}}.$$

But

$$\frac{\beta-1}{\beta^{\tilde{m}+1}} \leq \frac{\tilde{m}^{\tilde{m}}}{(\tilde{m}+1)^{\tilde{m}+1}},$$

so

$$\frac{(\tilde{m}+1)^{\tilde{m}+1}}{\tilde{m}^{\tilde{m}}} \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) \leq 1,$$

which contradicts condition (4). Hence, the inequality

$$\Delta x_n + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) x_{n-m_i} \leq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

has no eventually positive solution. The proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.4.** Let  $k$  be even. Assume that  $0 \leq \delta_n < 1$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and

$$\frac{(\tilde{m}+1)^{\tilde{m}+1}}{\tilde{m}^{\tilde{m}}} M^2 NG \left( \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \right) \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) G \left( 1 - \delta_{n-m_i} \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{n-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \right) > 1, \quad (7)$$

where  $\tilde{m} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i$ . Then, the equation (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (3) with  $x_n > 0, x_{n-\tau} > 0$  and  $x_{n-m_i} > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0 \geq N_0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Setting  $z_n = x_n + \delta_n x_{n-\tau}$ , we get  $z_n \geq x_n > 0$  and

$$\Delta^k z_n = - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) F(x_{n-m_i}) < 0, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (8)$$

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\Delta^{k-1} z_n > 0, \quad k \geq 2, n \geq n_0. \quad (9)$$

We will prove that  $\Delta z_n < 0$  eventually. This is obvious from the equation (3) in the case  $k = 1$ . For  $k \geq 2$ , we suppose on the contrary that  $\Delta z_n > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$ . Then

$$(1 - \delta_n) z_n \leq z_n - \delta_n z_{n-\tau} = x_n - \delta_n \delta_{n-\tau} x_{n-2\tau} \leq x_n \quad (10)$$

for  $n \geq n_2 \geq n_1$ . Since  $(z_n)$  is positive and increasing, it follows from Corollary 2.2 and (10) that

$$x_n \geq (1 - \delta_n) z_n \geq \frac{1 - \delta_n}{(k-1)!} \left( \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n, \quad n \geq 2^{k-1} n_2. \quad (11)$$

From (11) for  $n \geq n_3 \geq n_2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_{n-m_i}) &\geq G(x_{n-m_i}) \\ &\geq G \left( \frac{1 - \delta_{n-m_i}}{(k-1)!} \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_{n-m_i} \right) \\ &\geq M^2 NG \left( \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \right) G \left( (1 - \delta_{n-m_i}) \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \right) \Delta^{k-1} z_{n-m_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $w_n = \Delta^{k-1} z_n$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ . From (8) we have

$$\Delta w_n + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) M^2 NG \left( \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \right) G \left( (1 - \delta_{n-m_i}) \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \right) w_{n-m_i} \leq 0. \quad (12)$$

We see that  $(w_n)$  is an eventually positive solution of (12). But, in view of the condition (7), this is a contradiction to Theorem 2.3. Hence,  $\Delta z_n < 0$  eventually.

Since  $\Delta z_n < 0$  eventually, in Theorem 2.1 we must have  $m = j = 0$ , and

$$(-1)^i \Delta^i z_n > 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (13)$$

If  $k$  is even, (13) implies a contradiction to (9). The proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $k$  be odd. Assume that  $0 \leq \delta_n \leq \sigma < 1$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  where  $\sigma$  is a constant and

$$\frac{(\tilde{m}+1)^{\tilde{m}+1}}{\tilde{m}^{\tilde{m}}} M^2 NG(P) \sum_{i=1}^r \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(n) G\left(\left(\frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}}\right)^{(k-1)}\right) > 1, \quad (14)$$

for every  $P \in (0,1)$ ,  $\tilde{m} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i$ . Then, every solution of (3) either oscillates or tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(x_n)$  does not tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Using proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have  $\Delta z_n < 0$  eventually. This implies that  $z_n \rightarrow \ell$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $0 < \ell < \infty$ . Then, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an integer  $n_4 > n_0$  such that

$$0 < \varepsilon < \ell \frac{1-\sigma}{1+\sigma} < \ell$$

and

$$\ell - \varepsilon < z_n \leq z_{n-\tau} < \ell + \varepsilon, \quad n \leq n_4. \quad (15)$$

Thus, from (10) and (15), we find for  $n \geq n_4$  that

$$x_n \geq z_n - \delta_n z_{n-\tau} \geq z_n - \sigma z_{n-\tau} > \ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon) > \frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} z_n.$$

Let  $m = j$  be as in Corollary 2.2. We have

$$z_n = \frac{z_n}{z_{2^{j+1-k}n}} z_{2^{j+1-k}n} > \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} z_{2^{j+1-k}n}, \quad n \geq n_5 > n_4. \quad (16)$$

Combining (15) and (16) and using Corollary 2.2, we get for  $n \geq n_6 > n_5$  that

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &> \frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} z_{2^{j+1-k}n} \\ &\geq \frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} \frac{(2^{j+1-k}n - n_6)^{(k-1)}}{(k-1)!} \Delta^{k-1} z_n \\ &\geq \frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{(k-1)!} 2^{(j+1-k)(k-1)} (n - 2^k n_6)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $n \geq 2^{k+1}n_6 + k - 2$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &\geq \frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{(k-1)!} 2^{(j+1-k)(k-1)} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} (n)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n \\ &\geq \frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{(k-1)!} 2^{(j-k)(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

It can easily be seen that  $\frac{\ell - \varepsilon - \sigma(\ell + \varepsilon)}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\ell - \varepsilon}{\ell + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{(k-1)!} 2^{(j-k)(k-1)} = P \in (0,1)$ .

By (17), for  $n \geq n_7 > n_6$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) F(x_{n-m_i}) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) M^2 NG(P) G\left(\left(\frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}}\right)^{(k-1)}\right) \Delta^{k-1} z_{n-m_i}.$$

Put  $w_n = \Delta^{k-1} z_n$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ . We see that  $(w_n)$  is an eventually positive solution of

$$\Delta w_n + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) M^2 NG(P) G\left(\left(\frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}}\right)^{(k-1)}\right) w_{n-m_i} \leq 0.$$

In view of the condition (14), this is a contradiction to Theorem 2.3. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.6. Assume that  $-1 < -\sigma \leq \delta_n \leq 0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  where  $\sigma$  is a constant and the condition (14) in Theorem 2.5 is satisfied. Then, every solution of (3) either oscillates or tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (3) with  $x_n > 0, x_{n-\tau} > 0$  and  $x_{n-m_i} > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0 \geq N_0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Assume, furthermore, that  $(x_n)$  does not tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Setting  $z_n = x_n + \delta_n x_{n-\tau}$ , we get  $z_n \leq x_n$  and

$$\Delta^k z_n = -\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) F(x_{n-m_i}) < 0, \quad n \geq n_0. \quad (18)$$

We claim that  $\Delta x_n \leq 0$  eventually. Suppose on the contrary that  $\Delta z_n > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1 > n_0$ . Then, for  $n \geq n_2 > n_1$ , we have

$$z_n \geq x_n + \delta_n x_n \geq (1 - \sigma)x_n > 0. \quad (19)$$

Thus, inequality (9) follows from Theorem 2.1. Since  $(x_n)$  is unbounded, it follows from (19) that  $(z_n)$  is also unbounded, and hence  $\Delta z_n > 0$ ,  $n \geq n_2$ . Applying Corollary 2.2, we find

$$x_n \geq z_n \geq \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left( \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n, \quad n \geq 2^{k-1} n_2. \quad (20)$$

Therefore, in view of (20), for  $n \geq n_3 > n_2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_{n-m_i}) &\geq G(x_{n-m_i}) \\ &\geq M^2 N G \left( \left( \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \right) G \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \right) \Delta^{k-1} z_{n-m_i}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (9) and the above inequality that  $\Delta^{k-1} z_n$  is an eventually positive solution of

$$\Delta w_n + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) M^2 N G \left( \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \right) G \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} w_{n-m_i} \leq 0.$$

In view of the condition (14), this is a contradiction to Theorem 2.3. Hence,  $\Delta x_n \leq 0$  eventually. This implies that  $x_n \rightarrow \ell$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $0 < \ell < \infty$ .

Since  $z_n = x_n + \delta_n x_{n-\tau}$ , we get

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = (1 + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n) \ell \geq (1 - \sigma) \ell.$$

Hence,  $(z_n)$  is eventually positive and (9) holds. Since  $z_n \leq x_n$  and  $(x_n)$  is nonincreasing eventually,  $(z_n)$  is also nonincreasing eventually. Thus,  $z_n \rightarrow \ell_1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $0 < \ell_1 < \infty$ . Given  $\varepsilon \in (0, \ell_1)$ , there exists an integer  $n_4 > n_0$  such that

$$\ell_1 - \varepsilon < z_n < \ell_1 + \varepsilon, \quad n \geq n_4. \quad (21)$$

Let  $m = j$  be as in Corollary 2.2. For  $n \geq n_5 > n_4$ , using (21) and Corollary 2.2 successively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &= \frac{z_n}{z_{2^{j+1-k}n}} z_{2^{j+1-k}n} \\ &> \frac{\ell_1 - \varepsilon}{\ell_1 + \varepsilon} z_{2^{j+1-k}n} \\ &\geq \frac{\ell_1 - \varepsilon}{\ell_1 + \varepsilon} \frac{(2^{j+1-k}n - n_5)^{(k-1)}}{(k-1)!} \Delta^{k-1} z_n \\ &\geq \frac{\ell_1 - \varepsilon}{\ell_1 + \varepsilon} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} 2^{(j+1-k)(k-1)} (n - 2^n n_5)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for  $n \geq 2^{k+1}n_5 + k - 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} z_n &\geq \frac{\ell_1 - \varepsilon}{\ell_1 + \varepsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{(k-1)!} 2^{(j+1-k)(k-1)} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} (n)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n \\ &\geq \frac{2^{(j-k)(k-1)}(\ell_1 - \varepsilon)}{(\ell_1 + \varepsilon)(k-1)!} \cdot \left( \frac{n}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \Delta^{k-1} z_n. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It is easily seen that  $\frac{2^{(j-k)(k-1)}(\ell_1 - \varepsilon)}{(\ell_1 + \varepsilon)(k-1)!} \in (0, 1)$ . By (22), for  $n \geq n_6 > n_5$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_{n-m_i}) &\geq G(x_{n-m_i}) \geq G(z_{n-m_i}) \\ &\geq M^2 NG \left( \left( \frac{2^{(j-k)(k-1)}(\ell_1 - \varepsilon)}{(\ell_1 + \varepsilon)(k-1)!} \right) G \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \right) \Delta^{k-1} z_{n-m_i}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (9) and the above inequality that  $\Delta^{k-1} z_n$  is an eventually positive solution of

$$\Delta w_n + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(n) M^2 NG \left( \left( \frac{2^{(j-k)(k-1)}(\ell_1 - \varepsilon)}{(\ell_1 + \varepsilon)(k-1)!} \right) G \left( \frac{n-m_i}{2^{k-1}} \right)^{(k-1)} \right) w_{n-m_i} \leq 0.$$

In view of the condition (14), this is a contradiction to Theorem 2.3. The proof is complete.

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let  $k$  be even. Assume that  $\delta_n \equiv 1$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) = \infty$ . Then, the equation (3) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (3) with  $x_n > 0$ ,  $x_{n-\tau} > 0$  and  $x_{n-m_i} > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0 \geq N_0$  and  $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ . Setting  $z_n = x_n + x_{n-\tau}$ , we get  $z_n > 0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and the inequalities (8) and (9) are satisfied. Summing (3) from  $n_0$  to  $n-1$  and using (9), we obtain

$$\Delta^{k-1} z_{n_0} = \sum_{\ell=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) F(x_{\ell-m_i}) + \Delta^{k-1} z_n > \sum_{\ell=n_0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) N x_{\ell-m_i},$$

which implies

$$\sum_{\ell=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) x_{\ell-m_i} < \infty. \quad (23)$$

Next, we prove that if  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n > 0$ , then  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) < \infty$ . Indeed, suppose the contrary that

$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) = \infty$ . Put  $L = \inf_{\ell > n_0} x_{\ell-m_i}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ . Then, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) x_{\ell-m_i} \geq L \sum_{\ell=n_0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) = \infty,$$

which contradicts (23).

Since  $k$  is even, from Theorem 2.1, we see that  $m = j$  is odd and hence  $\Delta z_n > 0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ . Therefore,

$$0 < z_n - z_{n-\tau} = x_n - x_{n-2\tau}, \quad n \geq n_1 > n_0,$$

or  $x_n > x_{n-2\tau}$ ,  $n \geq n_1$ . This implies  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n > 0$ . We have seen that this leads to  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) < \infty$ , which is a

contradiction to  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) = \infty$ . The proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.8. Let  $k$  be odd. Assume that  $\delta_n \equiv 1$ ,  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) = \infty$ . Then, every solution of (3) either oscillates or tends to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (3) with  $x_n > 0$ ,  $x_{n-\tau} > 0$  and  $x_{n-m_i} > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0 \geq N_0$  and  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Assume, furthermore, that  $(x_n)$  does not tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From Theorem 2.1, we see that  $m = j$  is even. If  $j > 2$ , then we obtain  $\Delta z_n > 0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we obtain a contradiction. If  $j = 0$ , then from Theorem 2.1 we have  $\Delta z_n < 0$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ . Thus,  $z_n \rightarrow \ell$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $0 < \ell < \infty$ . For  $\varepsilon \in (0, \ell)$ , there exists an integer  $n_1 > n_0$  such that

$$z_n = x_n + x_{n-\tau} > \ell - \varepsilon > 0, \quad n \geq n_1.$$

Hence,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n > 0$ . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we obtain  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) < \infty$ , which is a contradiction to  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell) = \infty$ . The proof is complete.

This work is supported partially by the Vietnam National University Foundation QGTD0809.

## REFERENCES

1. R.P. Agarwal, E. Thandapani and P.J.Y. Wong, Oscillation of higher order neutral difference equation, *Appl. Math. Letters* 10 (1997), 71 – 78.
2. R.P. Agarwal, Difference calculus with applications to difference equations, in *General Inequalities* 4, cd. W. Walter, ISNM 71, *Birkhauser Verlag*, Basel, (1984), 95 – 110
3. Dinh Cong Huong, Oscillation and Convergence for a Neutral Difference Equation, *VNU Journal of Science, Mathematics-Physics* 24 (2008) 133 – 143.
4. R.K. Brayton and R.A. Willoughby, On the numerical integration of a symmetric system of difference differential equations of neutral type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 18 (1967).
5. L.H. Huang and J.S. Ju, Asymptotic behavior of solutions for a class of difference equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 204 (1996).
6. I.G. E. Kordonis and C.G. Philos, Oscillation of neutral difference equation with periodic coefficients, *Computers. Math. Applic.* Vol. 33 (1997).
7. B.S. Lalli and B.G. Zhang and J.Z. Li, On the oscillation of solutions and existence of positive solutions of neutral delay difference equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. 158 (1991).
8. B.S. Lalli and B.G. Zhang, On existence of positive solutions bounded oscillations for neutral delay difference equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. 166 (1992).
9. B.S. Lalli and B.G. Zhang, Oscillation and comparison theorems for certain neutral delay difference equation, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* Vol. 34 (1992).
10. B.S. Lalli, Oscillation theorems for certain neutral delay difference equation, *Computers. Math. Appl.* Vol. 28 (1994).

Получена 24.02.2012